UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

United States of America	
v.) Case No. 4:12CR3000
AMBER L. DIECKHOFF,	Case No. 4:12CR3000
Defendant	
DETENTION ORDE	R PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail I require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
Part I—Find	_
	n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
	cal offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence i	s death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
□ a felony committed after the defendant had be described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), o	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses r comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:
□ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destr	ructive device or any other dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 225	50
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committee federal, state release or local offense.	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
\Box (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since t	the \square date of conviction \square the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in finding (1).
	le presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the her find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternative I	Findings (A)
X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defend	ant has committed an offense
X for which a maximum prison term of ten years	s or more is prescribed in 21 USC 846 .
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
\Box (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est the defendant's appearance and the safety of the co	ablished by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure ommunity.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Alternative Findings (B)		
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	ant will not appear.
X (2)	There is a serious risk that the defenda	ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
]		ement of the Reasons for Detention submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and
convinci	ing evidence □ a preponderance of th	e evidence that
	ndant poses a risk of harm if released and ons which will sufficiently ameliorate that	I the court is currently aware of no conditions or combination of risk.
	Pout III	Divertions Degarding Detention
		Directions Regarding Detention
in a corr pending order of	rections facility separate, to the extent pra appeal. The defendant must be afforded	y of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement acticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On corney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility parshal for a court appearance.
Date:	January 18, 2012	s/Cheryl R. Zwart
		United States Magistrate Judge